



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/658,162	09/08/2000	John C. Zurawski	068520.0102 3295	
7590 03/10/2004		EXAMINER		
Baker Botts LLP			BULLOCK JR, LEWIS ALEXANDER	
2001 Ross Aver Dallas, TX 75			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
 , ·			2126	~
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004	, <i>I</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				h			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/658,162	ZURAWSKI, JOHN C.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.	2126				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE N - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 September 2000</u> is/Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1	fare: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is obtained.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv uu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 2,4-6.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal R 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2126

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by MELTZER (US 6,542,912).

As to claim 1, MELTZER teaches a method, comprising the steps of: providing a set of predetermined function definitions which are different (different services / different transactions) (col. 11, lines 41-57); and preparing a project definition (business interface definition) expressed in a public communication protocol (XML), the project definition including: a plurality of function portions (transactions) which each correspond to one of the function definitions in the set (service set), and which each define at least one input port (input document / modules to be carried in the document) and at least one output port (output document / modules of the document) that are functionally related according to the corresponding function definition; a further portion which includes a source portion (interface definition of the input document) identifying a data source (issuer) and defining an output port through which data from the data source can be produced and which includes a destination portion (interface definition of the output

Art Unit: 2126

document) identifying a data destination (counter party) and defining an input port through which data can be supplied to the data destination; and binding information (transaction description) which includes binding definitions that each associate a respective input port (via pointer to issuer) with one of the output ports (via pointer to counterparty) (col. 10, lines 3-50; col. 11, line 25 – col. 12, line 45; col. 13, lines 1-10; col. 26, lines 56-65; col. 27, lines 15-40; col. 27, line 65 – col. 28, line 23; col. 3, lines 31-58; col. 4, lines 31-54).

As to claim 2, MELTZER teaches selecting as the public communication protocol the extensible Markup Language (XML) protocol (XML) (col. 3, lines 41-45).

As to claim 3, MELTZER teaches that one of the function definitions (services) implements a function which varies in dependence on control input (the format of the input document); and wherein the preparing step includes the step of including in the project definition (business interface definition), for each function portion therein that corresponds to the one of the function definitions, respective control information for use as the control input ("Each definition expresses a contract or promise to carry out a service if a valid request is submitted to the specified Web address."; "In effect, the company is promising to do business with anyone who can submit a Purchase Order that conforms to the XML specification it declares.") (col. 19, lines 40-64).

Art Unit: 2126

As to claim 4, MELTZER teaches the preparing includes the step of including in the project definition (business interface definition) a list (multiple transaction BID) which identifies at least some of the function (operations), source (input), and destination portions (output) (fig. 2, col. 10, lines 3-50; col. 4, lines 45-54). MELTZER also teaches for a function portion setting forth any control information (format of the input document) for that portion ("Each definition expresses a contract or promise to carry out a service if a valid request is submitted to the specified Web address."; "In effect, the company is promising to do business with anyone who can submit a Purchase Order that conforms to the XML specification it declares.") (col. 19, lines 40-64). It is inherent in the teachings of MELTZER that all function portions contain a control information as to the format of the input received.

As to claim 5, MELTZER teaches the preparing includes the step of including in the project definition (business interface definition) a plurality of process definitions (transaction definitions) which each include a respective list (list of operations), the lists each including a subset of the function (operations), source (input), and destination portions (output), and the subsets being mutually exclusive (fig. 2, col. 4, lines 45-54; col. 10, lines 3-50).

As to claims 6-10, reference is made to a computer readable medium which corresponds to the method of claims 1-5 and is therefore met by the rejection of claims 1-5 above.

Art Unit: 2126

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lewis A. Bullock, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 305-0439. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng An can be reached on (703) 305-9678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lab

La a sulch &